

2240 Sutherland Avenue, Suite 107 Knoxville, TN 37919 PH 865.330.0037 FAX 865.330.9949 www.geosyntec.com

Memorandum

Date: 9 November 2010

To: Ruth Custance

Matt Thomas

From: Mary Tyler

CC: J. Caprio

Subject: Tier IV Data Validation - Level IV Data deliverable - Volatile

Organic Compounds by EPA Method TO-15 - Columbia

Analytical Services Project Number P1003034R

SITE: Ascon Landfill

INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes the findings of the Tier IV data validation of five air samples collected in Summa canisters, collected on August 17, 2010, as part of the Ascon Landfill sampling event. Columbia Analytical Services (CAS), Simi Valley, California, analyzed the samples. The samples were analyzed by the following method:

• EPA Method TO-15 – Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The samples were handled, prepared, and measured in the same manner under similar prescribed conditions.

Overall, based on this Tier IV data validation covering the QC parameters listed below, the data are usable for meeting project objectives.

The data were reviewed USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, June 2008 (USEPA-540-R-08-01), the Interim Removal Measure Air Monitoring Plan, Ascon Landfill Site, Huntington Beach, California, May 2010, as well as by the pertinent methods referenced by the data package and professional judgment.

The following samples were analyzed in the data set:

Lab ID	Client ID
P1003034-01	IRM-AA-02
P1003034-02	IRM-AA-06
P1003034-03	IRM-AA-03

Lab ID	Client ID
P1003034-04	IRM-AA-05
P1003034-05	IRM-AA-07

The samples were received at the laboratory at ambient temperature. No sample preservation issues were noted by the laboratory.

The original laboratory report was revised to include the raw data; the revised laboratory report was identified by the laboratory as P1003034R.

.

1.0 VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (VOCs)

Five air samples collected in Summa canisters were analyzed for VOCs per EPA Method TO-15.

The areas of data review are listed below. A leading check mark (\checkmark) indicates an area of review in which the data were acceptable. A preceding crossed circle (\otimes) signifies areas where issues were raised during the course of the validation review and should be considered to determine any impact on data quality and usability.

- ✓ Overall Assessment
- ✓ Holding Times
- ✓ Instrument Performance Check
- ✓ Initial Calibration
- ✓ Continuing Calibration Verification
- ✓ Blanks
- ✓ Laboratory Control Sample
- ✓ Laboratory Duplicate
- ✓ Surrogates
- ✓ Internal Standards
- ✓ Target Compound Identifications
- ✓ Target Compound Quantitations

1.1 Overall Assessment

The VOC data reported in this package are considered to be usable for meeting project objectives. The analytical completeness defined as the ratio of the number of valid analytical

results (valid analytical results include values qualified as estimated) to the total number of analytical results requested on samples submitted for analysis, for the project is 100%.

1.2 Holding Times and Sample Preservation

The holding times were met for the sample analyses. The holding time for VOC analysis of air samples collected in Summa canisters is 30 days from sample collection to analysis.

1.3 <u>Instrument Performance Check</u>

An instrument performance check sample (tune standard) was analyzed at the beginning of each 24-hour period during sample analysis. The samples were analyzed within the 24-hour period. All ion abundance criteria were met for bromofluorobenzene (BFB).

1.4 <u>Initial Calibration</u>

Appropriate initial calibrations were performed for each analyte. Based on the method of calibration, the laboratory calculated the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) of the relative response factors (RRFs). The %RSDs of the target compounds met the method criteria of less than or equal to 30% and the minimum average RRFs were above the method criteria.

1.5 Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)

For the target analytes, the CCV was performed at the required frequency. The CCV RRFs met the method criteria of \pm 30% difference from the initial calibration RRFs.

1.6 Blanks

Method blanks were analyzed at the proper frequency for the number and types of samples analyzed (one per batch of 20 samples). One method blank was analyzed. No VOCs were detected above the reporting limits in the method blank.

1.7 Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

LCSs were analyzed at the proper frequency for the number and types of samples analyzed (one per batch of 20 samples). One LCS was analyzed. The recovery results were within the laboratory specified acceptance limits.

1.8 Laboratory Duplicate

A laboratory duplicates was not reported with the sample set.

1.9 Surrogates

Surrogates were added to the standards, samples and blanks. The surrogate recoveries were within the laboratory specified acceptance limits.

1.10 <u>Internal Standards</u>

The internal standard areas and retention times were within the method acceptance limits. It was noted that the internal standard area and retention assessments were not included in the data package. The raw data were assessed by the data validator and the internal standard recoveries and retention times were within the method specified acceptance limits.

1.11 <u>Target Compound Identifications</u>

The target compound identifications were within the validation criteria.

1.12 <u>Compound Quantitation</u>

The compound quantitations were within the validation criteria.

* * * * *

ATTACHMENT 1 DATA VALIDATION QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS AND INTERPRETATION KEY Assigned by Geosyntec's Data Validation Team

DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS

- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- J The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- J+ The analyte was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is likely to be higher that the concentration of the analyte in the sample due to positive bias of associated QC or calibration data or attributable to matrix interference.
- J- The analyte was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is likely to be lower that the concentration of the analyte in the sample due to negative bias of associated QC or calibration data or attributable to matrix interference.
- UJ The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.
- R The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.